

Treatment Outcome of Myocentric Splint Therapy Aided by a Standardized Symptom List

Horst Kares

International College of Cranio-Mandibular Orthopedics, Anthology Vol. VII, 2005, 57-64.

www.dr-kares.de

Abstract

The goal of this study was to prove the effectiveness of myocentric splints on a range of symptoms suffered by CMD patients. The experiment involved the attempt to optimize the indication positioning and prognosis at the start of dental functional therapy. 62 women and 21 men between 20 and 65 years old were questioned from a list of symptoms at the time a myocentric splint was incorporated and 4 weeks thereafter. We were able to document significant improvements in headaches, joint cracking, facial pain, neck pain and shoulder pain. Symptoms such as ringing in the ears, dizziness and insomnia were improved in approximately 50% of the cases but snoring showed hardly any change.

The effects of civilization, especially stress-related illnesses, have steadily given rise to the occurrence of symptoms in the area of the mouth, face and head^{8,17,26,28,32}. CMD symptoms are suspected in approximately 70% of the general population although they often remain undetected^{4,9,13,14,15,16,39}. As a consequence, in our dental practices we are increasingly confronted with clinical pictures which were unknown in the past. A routine examination of all patients in dental practices has confirmed the frequency of the diagnosis, "Craniomandibular dysfunction"^{15,16,45,46,47,58}.

A variety of studies have shown that only 3% of men and 7% of women have CMD-related pain and seek treatment for it^{15,16,25,62,64}. However, if one asks patients in the practice about their symptoms, such as headache or clicking in the jaw joint, and explains the interrelationships in the whole body, one finds that treatment is necessary, meaningful and also desired much more often.

For this reason, in the past 5 years in our practice we have asked all patients showing signs of a CMD to fill out a symptom list which contains not only the common CMD symptoms but also a variety of whole-body and psychosocial complaints^{37,48,49,50,51,52,77} (Fig.1). This questionnaire can be conveniently completed in the waiting room and is used as a basis for a subsequent conversation about these problems.

In this retrospective patient study 83 patients with craniomandibular dysfunctions were questioned about the actual state of various symptoms. The initial interview was conducted when a myocentric splint was incorporated, the second interview four weeks later. The complaints examined were headache, clicking in the jaw joint, facial pain, tinnitus, dizziness, neck pain, shoulder pain, snoring and insomnia.

One of the goals of this study was to prove the effectiveness of myocentric splints for the treatment of nine symptoms in CMD patients. Another goal was to optimize the indication at the beginning of the functional therapy, in other words, to make it easier to diagnose the craniomandibular dysfunction. The third goal was to obtain a quick overview of the degree of severity, multimorbidity, chronification stage and prognosis of the illness. We believed this could provide considerable help in making a decision about bringing in other specialists.

Methods

Selection of the Patients

The symptom lists of 83 patients from a dental practice between 1996 and 2000 were retrospectively evaluated. They came into the office because of following symptoms: pain in head, neck, back, face, ears, temporomandibular joints and tinnitus, mouth burning, tmj-noises, instable occlusion, vertigo. The age of the 85 patients ranged between 20 and 65 (62 women and 21 men)⁵⁷. 16 patients got already a splint (19%) and 67 came without it (81%). 56 of them (67%) were treated by other therapist (physiotherapy, massage, drugs, acupuncture, osteopathy eg.) and 27 (33%) didn't get any other therapy. Each patient routinely filled out the questionnaire when the myocentric splints was inserted and four weeks thereafter. Some of the reported complaints were simple in nature with few symptoms, others were highly dramatic and spread out in almost all areas.

List of the evaluated Symptoms

Nine symptoms were evaluated :

- facial pain
- clicking the jaw joint
- headache
- neck pain
- insomnia
- snoring
- shoulder pain
- dizziness
- tinnitus

Myocentric Splints

The splints were made for the lower jaw in most cases. The bite registration was carried out after approximately 45 minutes of T.E.N.S. therapy corresponding to myocentric criteria and under K-6 control^{3,19,33,34,35,36,40,53,54,59,60,61,65,71}. Oral splints had been previously worn in some cases. In simple cases the patients were told to wear the splints only at night. For patients suffering from chronic pain conditions it was recommended that the splints should be worn at first 24 hours a day and only be removed for cleaning.

Support Therapy

There were usually around three weeks between the initial examination and consultation appointment, and the incorporation of the splints. This allowed enough time for educating the patients, which is one of the most important instruments in the CMD therapy^{11,12,37,38}. Any ongoing support therapy was continued but no new therapy was initiated.

Results

Prevalence of the Symptoms (Fig. 2)

The first step was to determine the prevalence of the symptoms for the examined subjects. The distribution of the complaints for the patients undergoing treatment for a craniomandibular dysfunction was interesting. Neck pain was mentioned most often, in 77% of the cases (64 subjects), similar to reports by other authors^{56,63}. Headache also turned up in 75% of the subjects^{2,21,22,43,44,55,56,63} (62 patients). One might even claim that these two complaints represent the main symptoms. Shoulder pain was reported by 53 patients, or in 64% of the cases, and clicking in the jaw joint was in fourth place with 61% (51 subjects). Snoring was reported by 38 subjects representing 46% of the cases. This points to a relationship with the bite position, especially a lowering of the bite which automatically narrows the oral cavity. Tinnitus and dizziness were reported by 40% of the patients (33 cases each). Insomnia was reported relatively often by this group of patients (32 cases or 38%), but this is typical for chronic pain conditions²⁵. Facial pain, one of the most important and often mentioned symptoms in connection with CMD, actually did not play a major role and was cited in only 31% of the cases (26 patients).

In those patients who already wore a splint and got a new myocentric orthosis, 57% were responders and 43% non-responders. When they came without splint into the office, 71% did respond and 29% didn't. We can deduce from this that neuromuscular splint therapy can be a benefit for the patient, even if he got another splint therapy before.

If the patients got another therapy by other specialities, 71% were responder and 29% non-responder. Without co-therapy the outcome was decreased a little bit, 69% responder and 31% non-responder. This shows that the positive effects of a co-therapy in the treatment of TMD are important.

Changes in the Symptoms (Fig. 3)

We defined an improvement of the symptoms when the severity of the complaints was reduced by at least one level. Deterioration was not detected or only to a very small degree of 2-10%.

The symptom which responded best to the therapy with a myocentric orthosis was facial pain (73%). When induced by CMD, we can promise patients a very good prognosis. The headache, too,

appeared to be caused by muscular stress in most cases and also responds to neuromuscular therapy (tension headaches). We found improvement here in 71% of the cases after four weeks. The typical CMD symptom, clicking in the jaw joint, was only in third in the ranking at 69%. We observed a reduction in the symptoms in this category as well. Neck and shoulder pain also showed improvement in 61% and 58% of the cases, respectively. This enables us to conclude that a considerable portion of these complaints are related to the jaw and teeth. Insomnia improved in 56% of the cases, which appears to be highly beneficial for the healing process of chronic pain patients. Dizziness also reacted relatively well with 54%. Tinnitus improved in only 45% of the cases. However, the shorter the tinnitus lasted, the higher the chances of success. The snoring symptom reacted to the oral splints in only 24% of the cases. The amount of the raised bite obviously plays an important role here. The higher the vertical position, the larger the mouth cavity and therefore the less the tongue is positioned dorsally. The frequency of obstructive apnea might also be reduced and the patients are therefore getting more restful sleep.

Conclusions

Most patients treated in our practices have considerably more complaints than they admit to at first. Symptoms such as facial, head and neck pain should be questioned in each new examination in order to diagnose possible CMD⁵. It is highly important to obtain a complete overview of the extent of physical and psychosocial feelings. In combination with other screening methods^{18,29,30,31,76}, this simple symptom list represents an effective tool for improving the diagnosis of CMD and obtaining an overview of the extent of the ailments. At the same time it also reveals the degree of chronification and facilitates the prognosis.

Since the etiology of the CMD can rarely be established and we are usually only able to determine risk factors, we need to focus more on the symptoms and base the treatment on them^{6,7,25}.

Chronic pain patients, regardless of the main ailment involved, usually show strong signs of CMD^{24,41,42,16,27,69}. These patients can therefore only be successfully treated with the assistance of dentists. The negative input of the parafunctions, the bite position and the jaw joint affecting the organism and the psyche needs to be lessened or eliminated altogether to enable other therapies to be successful^{10,73,74,75}.

The chronic aspect of these illnesses is new for the dental practitioner. It is not possible in this case to eliminate the pain as quickly and effectively in familiar fashion. The practitioner not only needs to learn how to treat the patient with the most up-to-date methods, but also to accompany and guide the patient through their illness^{1,23,67,68,66,70}. The treatment of chronic pain patients represents a paradigm change in dental therapy. We can no longer simply focus on causal therapy. We need to react to symptoms^{16,67,68,72}.

Literature

1. Balint M: *Le medecin, son malade et la maladie*. Paris Payot 1970.
2. Barolin G S: *Kopfschmerzen multifaktoriell*. Enke Verlag Stuttgart 1994.
3. Burdette, B.H. and Gale, E.N.: Intersession reliability of surface electromyography. *J Dent Res*, Abstract No.1370, 1987.
4. Cacchiotti D, Plesh O, Bianchi P, McNeill C: Signs and symptoms in samples with and without temporomandibular disorders. *J Cranomandib Disord Facial Oral Pain* 1991; 5:167-171.
5. Carlsson GE, Jonansson A, Wedel A: The role of general practitioners in diagnosis and management of TMD. Part I: Background and diagnosis. *Postgrad Dentist* 1995;5:26-32.
6. Clark GT: Critical Commentary: The Etiology of Temporomandibular Disorders, Implication for Treatment. *JOrofacial Pain* 2001; 15/2:109-11.
7. Clark GT, Seligman DA, Solberg WK, Pullinger AG: Guidelines for the examination, and diagnosis of temporomandibular disorders. *JCraniomandibDisord Facial Oral Pain* 1989;3:7-14.
8. Cooper B C, Lucente F E, Alleva M, Cooper D L: Myofacial pain dysfunction: Analysis of 476 patients. *Laryngoscope* 96:1099-1106,1086.
9. Costen J B: A syndrome of ear and sinus symptoms dependent upon disturbed function of the temporomandibular joint. *Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol* 1934;43:1-5.
10. Dillmann U, Nilges P, Saile H, Gerbershagen H U (1994): Behinderungseinschätzung bei chronischen Schmerzpatienten. *Schmerz* 8:100-110.
11. Dworkin S F, LeResche L (1992): Research diagnostik criteria for temporomandibular disorders: review, critique. *J Craniomand Disorders Facial Oral Pain* 6:301-355.
12. Dworkin SF, Huggins KH, LeResche L, Von Korff M, Howard J, Truelove E, Sommers E: Epidemiology of signs and symptoms in temporomandibular disorders: clinical signs in cases and controls. *JADA* 1990; 120:273-281.
13. Erdmann, M., Neuhauser, W.: *Der orofaciale Schmerz*. Quintessenz Berlin, 1990.
14. Ettlin T M, Kaeser H E: *Muskelferspannungen*. Thieme Verlag 1998.
15. Feinmann C: The long-term outcome of facial pain treatment. *Jpsychosom Res* 1993;37:381-387.
16. Feinmann C, Madland G: Critical Commentary 3: The Etiology of Temporomandibular Disorders. Implications for Treatment. *JOrofacial Pain* 2001; 15: 111-114.
17. Freesmeyer W B: *Zahnärztliche Funktionstherapie*. Hanser Verlag 1993.
18. Geissner E (1996): Die Schmerzempfindungsskala SES – Ein differenziertes und veränderungssensitives Verfahren zur Erfassung chronischer und akuter Schmerzen. *Rehabilitation* 34:XXXV-XLIII.
19. George J P, Boone M E: A clinical study of rest position using the Kinesiograph and the Myomonitor. *J Prosthet Dent* 41:456,1979.
20. Gerbershagen H U, Waisbrod H: Chronic pain management. Part I: Factors involved in comprehensive patient care evaluation. *Schmerz-Pain-Douleur* 2, 55 (1986).
21. Göbel H: *Die Kopfschmerzen*. Springer Verlag 1996.
22. Göbel H: *ICD-10 – Richtlinien für die Klassifikation und Diagnostik von Kopfschmerzen*. Springer Verlag 1999.
23. Gonella J S, Gorran M J: Quality of patient care. A measurement of change. A staging concept. *Med Care* 13, 467 (1975).
24. Graber G: *Muskelferspannungen aus Sicht der Zahnmedizin*. In Ettlin T M, Kaeser H E: *Muskelferspannungen*. Thieme Verlag 1998.
25. Greene CS: The Etiology of Temporomandibular Disorders: Implications for Treatment. *JOrofacial Pain* 2001; 15: 93-105.
26. Griffiths RH: Report of the president's conference on examination, diagnosis and management of temporomandibular disorders. *JADA* 1983; 106:75-77
27. Handwerker H O: *Einführung in die Pathophysiologie des Schmerzes*. Springer Verlag 1999. S.81-109.
28. Hansson T, Nilner M: A study of the occurrence of symptoms of diseases of the temporomandibular joint masticatory musculature and related structures. *J Oral Rehabil* 1975; 2:313-324.
29. Hautzinger M, Bailer M (1995): *Allgemeine Depressionsskala*. Beltz Weinheim.
30. Helkimo M: Epidemiology surveys of dysfunction of the masticatory system. In : Zarb GA, Carlsson GE, eds. *Temporomandibular joint function and dysfunction*. St. Louis: CV Mosby, 1979:175-192.

31. Helkimo M: Studies of function and dysfunction of the masticatory system. II: Index for anamnestic and clinical dysfunction and occlusal state. *Swed Dent J* 1974; 67:101-111.
32. Herget H F: Kopf- und Gesichtsschmerz. KVM 2000.
33. Hermens, H.J., Boon, K.L. and Zilvold, G.: The clinical use of surface EMG. *Medica Physica* 9:119-130, 1986.
34. Heydenreich A: Punktförmige transkutane elektrische Nervenstimulation. In: Pothmann R (Hrsg): TENS. Hippokrates, Stuttgart 1991.
35. Jankelson, R.: Neuromuscular Dental Diagnosis and Treatment. Ishiyaku Euroamerica, Inc. St.Louis, Tokyo 1990
36. Kappert H F, Jonas I, Heintz S: Analyse elektromyographischer Signale nach transkutaner Elektroneurostimulation der Kaumuskulatur. *Dtsch zahnärztl Z* 48:594-596, 1993.
37. Kares H, Schindler H, Schöttl R: Der etwas andere Kopf- und Gesichtsschmerz, Craniomandibuläre Dysfunktionen CMD. 2001 Schlütersche. ISBN 3-87706-665-8.
38. Keel P: Psychotherapie (Entspannungstechniken). In Ettliln T M, Kaeser H E: Muskelverspannungen. Thieme Verlag 1998.
39. Kemper JT, Okeson JP: Craniomandibular disorders and headaches. *J Prosthet Dent* 1983; 49:702-705.
40. Konchak P, Lanigan D, Devon R: Freeway space measurement using mandibular kinesiograph and EMG before and after TENS. *Angle Orthodont* 334-350,(10)1988.
41. Kopp S, Plato G, Buhmann A: Die Bedeutung der oberen Kopfgelenke bei der Ätiologie von Schmerzen im Kopf-, Hals, Nackenbereich. *DZZ*, 12/1989, S.966-967.
42. Kopp S, Plato G: Kiefergelenk: Dysfunktionen und Schmerzphänomene aus der Sicht interdisziplinärer Diagnostik und Therapie. *Der Freie Zahnarzt*, 2/2001, S. 44-51.
43. Magnusson T, Carlsson GE: Comparison between two groups of patients in respect to headache and mandibular dysfunction. *Swed Dent J* 1978; 2:85-92.
44. Magnusson T, Carlsson GE: Recurrent headaches in relation to temporomandibular joint pain-dysfunction. *Acta Odontol Scand* 1978; 36:333-338.
45. McNeill C, Mohl ND, Rugh JD, Tanaka T: Temporomandibular disorders: diagnosis, management, education, and research. *JADA* 1990; 120:253-263.
46. Mumenthaler, M., Regli, F.: Der Kopfschmerz. Thieme, Stuttgart 1990.
47. Mumford J M: Kiefer- und Gesichtsschmerz. Deutscher Ärzteverlag Köln 1989.
48. Nassif J J , Yousef F T: Classic Symptoms in Temporomandibular Disorder Patients: A Comparative Study. *Journal of Craniomandibular Practice*. Vol 19, Nr. 1:33-41,
49. Nassif NJ, Hilsen KL: Screening for temporomandibular disorders: History and clinical examination. *J Prosthodont* 1992; 1:42-46.
50. Nilner M: TMD history and examination. In: McNeill C ed. *Current controversies in temporomandibular disorders*. Chicago: Quintessence, 1992:106-107.
51. Okeson J P: Orofacial Pain. Quintessenz Verlag 1996.
52. Orbach R: Overview of patient evaluation. History and clinical examination. In: Zarb GA, Carlsson GE, Sessle BJ, Mohl ND, eds. *Temporomandibular joint and masticatory muscle disorders*. 2nd ed. Copenhagen:Munksgaard, 1994:396-434.
53. Paesani, D.A., Tallents, R.H., Murphy, W.C. et al.: Evaluation of the reproducibility of rest activity of the anterior temporal and masseter muscles in asymptomatic and symptomatic temporo-mandibular dysfunction patients. *J Orofacial Pain* 8:402-406, 1994.
54. Pantaleo, T., Prayer-Galletti, F., Pini-Prato, G., Prayer-Galletti, S.: An electromyographic study in patients with myofascial pain-dysfunction syndrome. *Bull. Group. Int. Rech. Sc. Stomat. Et Odont*. Vol 26, pp.167-179, 1983.
55. Pettengill C: A comparison of headache symptoms between two groups: a TMD group and a general dental practice group. *J Craniomandib Pract* 1999; 17:64-69.
56. Rieder C: The incidence of some occlusal habits and headaches/neckaches in an initial survey population. *J Prosthet Dent* 1976; 35:445-451.
57. Rieder CE, Martinoff JT, Wilcox SA: The prevalence of mandibular dysfunction. Part I: Sex and age distribution of related signs and symptoms. *J Prosthet Dent* 1983; 50:81-88.
58. Schiffman EL, Friction JR, Haley DP, Shapiro BL: The prevalence and treatment needs of subjects with temporomandibular disorders. *JADA* 1990, 120:295-303.
59. Schindler, H.J.: Wissenschaftliche Hintergründe der Myozentrik. Skriptum zu einem Vortrag beim IITMR-Symposium Erlangen 1993.
60. Schöttl R: Der Therapieansatz bei Craniomandibulären Schmerzen, Orthopädie bei chronischen Schmerzen. ICCMO-Brief August 1995, S.1-5.
61. Schöttl, W.: Die craniomandibuläre Regulation. 1991 Hüthig Verlag Heidelberg.

62. Schumacher, Brähler E: Prävalenz von Schmerzen in der deutschen Bevölkerung Ergebnisse repräsentativer Erhebungen mit dem Gießener Beschwerdebogen Schmerz 13 (1999) 6, 375-384.
63. Siebert, G.K.: Gesichts- und Kopfschmerzen. Hanser Verlag München 1992.
64. Svenson P, Graven-Nielsen T: Craniofacial Muscle Pain: A review of mechanisms and clinical manifestations. . JOrofacial Pain 2001; 15: 117-145.
65. Thomas N: Der Einsatz der elektromyographischen Spektralanalyse bei der Diagnose und Behandlung von kranio-mandibulären Dysfunktionen. ICCMO-Brief 6:Ausgabe 2 1999, S.5-15.
66. Travell J G, Simons D G: Handbuch der Muskel- Triggerpunkte 1. Obere Extremitäten, Kopf, Thorax. Gebundene Ausgabe (1998) Urban & Fischer, ISBN: 3437414003.
67. Türp J C, John M, Nilges P, Jürgens J, et al.: Schmerzen im Bereich der Kaumuskelatur und Kiefergelenke. Empfehlungen zur standardisierten Diagnostik und Klassifikation von Patienten. Schmerz 2000-14;416-428.
68. Türp, J.C.: Myoarthropathien des Kausystems - mehr als nur ein zahnmedizinisches Problem. Dtsch.med.Wschr.122 , 483-487, 1997
69. Von Korff M, Ormel J, Keefe F J, Dworkin S F: Grading the severity of chronic pain Pain 50:133-149.
70. Wedel A, Carlson GE: Factors influencing the outcome of treatment in patients referred to a temporomandibular joint clinic. J Prothet Dent 1985;54:420-426.
71. Wessberg G A, Epker B N, Elliott A C: Comparison of mandibular rest position induced by phonetics, transcutaneous electrical stimulation and masticatory electromyography. J Prosthet Dent 49:100-105,1983.
72. Wessely S, Nimnuan C, Sharpe M: Functional somatic symptoms: One or many?Lancet1999;359:963-939.
73. Wolfe F: Vergleichende Auswertung von Druckschmerzpunkten und Triggerpunkten bei Patienten mit Fibromyalgie, myofascialem Schmerzsyndrom und Gesunden. Rheumatology 19 6(1992) 944-951.
74. Zarb GA, Thomson GW: Assessment of clinical treatment of patients with temporomandibular joint dysfunction. J Prothet Dent 1970: 24:542-554.
75. Zens M, Jurna I: Lehrbuch der Schmerztherapie. Grundlagen, Theorie und Praxis für Aus- und Weiterbildung. Gebundene Ausgabe (1993) Wissensch. VG., ISBN: 380471238X
76. Zerssen von (1976): Die Beschwerden-Liste (B-L). Manual. Beltz, Weinheim.
77. Zulquarnain BJ, Khan N, Khattab S: Self-reported symptoms of temporomandibular dysfunction in a female university student population in Saudi Arabia. J Oral Rehabil 1998; 25:946-953.